

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 31, 2001 LB 128

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...to cut down these trees and remove them?

SENATOR SCHROCK: No, that's not an environmental problem. It would not be used for that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, it seems it would be a greater risk to the environment, because it could spread diseases to other trees, than tearing down old buildings which are not going to infect other buildings. So if I would offer an amendment that said wherever...

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...trees that are infected with Dutch elm disease and get others that are happening in Omaha and this money can be spent for that purpose, would you support that amendment? Senator Schrock, would you support that amendment?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Now repeat that. I was talking to counsel.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. If I would offer an amendment that would allow this money to be spent for the removal of all infected trees, would you support that amendment?

SENATOR SCHROCK: No, I would not, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: You don't think infected trees that will infect other trees affects the environment?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Well, there's a lot of things that can affect the environment, but I don't think that was envisioned by the people who created the Solid Waste Management Fund.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But you think tearing down old buildings was.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Senator Chambers, this money cannot be used for the demolition or the tearing down of buildings. It's for the cleanup of buildings that are already laying in a heap and there's no responsible party around to take care of it.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, the county is there. It's on...it's in